

Recent Contributions to the Calculus of Finite Differences: A Survey

D Loeb¹
8 Rue Claude Terrasse
75016 Paris FRANCE

G-C Rota²
MIT Dept. of Mathematics
Cambridge, MA 02139 USA

Copyright February 1, 2008

¹Supported by a Chateaubriand Fellowship

²Supported by National Science Foundation under Grant MCS-8104855

Abstract

We retrace the recent history of the Umbral Calculus. After studying the classic results concerning polynomial sequences of binomial type, we generalize to a certain type of logarithmic series. Finally, we demonstrate numerous typical examples of our theory.

Une Présentation des Contributions Recents au Calcul des Différences Finies

Nous passons en revue ici les resultats recents du calcul ombral. Nous nous interessons tout d'abord aux resultats classique appliqués aux suites de polynômes de type binomial, puis elargions le champ d'étude aux series logarithmiques. Enfin nous donnons de nombreux exemples types d'application de cette théorie.

Contents

1	Polynomials of Binomial Type	2
1.1	The Powers of x	2
1.2	The Lower Factorial	3
1.3	The General Case	4
1.4	The Abel Polynomials	6
2	Shift-Invariant Operators	7
3	Extension to Logarithmic Series	12
3.1	The Harmonic Logarithms	12
3.2	Shift-Invariant Operators	16
3.3	Binomial Theorem	18
3.4	The Logarithmic Lower Factorial	19
3.5	Logarithmic Sequences of Binomial Type	22
3.6	Explicit Formulas	26
3.7	Lagrange Inversion	31
4	Examples	32
4.1	The Logarithmic Lower Factorial Sequence	32
4.2	The Laguerre Logarithmic Sequence	33
4.3	The Abel Logarithmic Sequence	34
4.4	The Logarithmic Ramey Sequences	36
5	Connection Constants	37

6	Examples	40
6.1	From the Logarithmic Lower Factorial to the Harmonic Logarithm	40
6.2	From the Lower Factorials to the Upper Factorials	41
6.3	From the Abel Series to the Harmonic Logarithms	42

1 Polynomials of Binomial Type

Much of the calculus of finite differences leans upon certain analogies between two linear operators defined on functions $f(x)$ ranging over a field K of characteristic zero, and taking values in K :

1. The *derivative operator* D , defined as

$$Df(x) = f'(x),$$

and

2. The *forward difference operator* Δ , defined as

$$\Delta f(x) = f(x + 1) - f(x).$$

1.1 The Powers of x

The sequence of polynomials¹ $p_n(x) = x^n$ is related to the derivative operator by the elementary property

$$Dp_n(x) = np_{n-1}(x). \tag{1}$$

Such sequences of polynomials have been called *Appell*. The sequence x^n is distinguished among all Appell sequences by the further property

$$p_n(0) = \delta_{n0}$$

for all $n \geq 0$.

¹When one speaks of a sequence of polynomials, one generally means a sequence $p_n(x)$ indexed by a nonnegative integer n such that the degree of each polynomial $p_n(x)$ is exactly equal to n .

Furthermore, $p_n(x) = x^n$ plays a key role in Taylor's theorem

$$f(x+a) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n(a) \frac{D^n f(x)}{n!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^n D^n f(x)}{n!}$$

for all $a \in K$ and for all suitable functions $f(x)$.

Finally, we note that the sequence $p_n(x)$ is of *binomial type*. That is to say, it satisfies the *binomial theorem*

$$p_n(x+a) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{k} p_k(a) p_{n-k}(x) \quad (2)$$

for all $a \in K$ and all $n \geq 0$.

1.2 The Lower Factorial

Define another sequence of polynomials called the *lower factorial powers* as follows

$$p_0(x) = (x)_0 = 1$$

and

$$p_n(x) = (x)_n = x(x-1)(x-2) \cdots (x-n+1)$$

for $n \geq 0$. It can be interpreted as the number of *injections* from an n -element set to an x -element set.[9]

The lower factorial is related to the forward difference operator by the property

$$\Delta p_n(x) = n p_{n-1}(x).$$

Such sequences are called *Sheffer sequences* for the forward difference operator. The lower factorial is distinguished among all Sheffer sequences by the further property

$$p_n(0) = \delta_{n0}$$

for $n \geq 0$.

Furthermore, for suitable functions $f(x)$ and all constants $a \in K$, we have *Newton's formula*

$$f(x+a) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a)_n \frac{\Delta^n f(x)}{n!}.$$

Lastly, *Vandermonde's identity* states that the sequence $p_n(x) = (x)_n$ is of binomial type:

$$(x+a)_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{k} (a)_k (x)_{n-k}.$$

1.3 The General Case

Our initial problem will be that of carrying this analogy as far as reasonably possible in order to make the parallel of $\mathbb{D}$ and Δ into an accidental special case.

To this end, we begin by classifying all sequences of polynomials of binomial type, that is, all sequences of polynomials $p_n(x)$ (with $\deg(p_n(x)) = n$ satisfying eq. 2

$$p_n(x+a) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{k} p_k(a) p_{n-k}(x).$$

Proposition 1 *A sequence of polynomials $p_n(x)$ of binomial type satisfies*

$$p_n(0) = \delta_{n0}$$

for all $n \geq 0$.

Proof: By eq. 2 with $n = a = 0$, $p_0(0) = 1$. Now, for n positive,

$$0 = p_n(x) - p_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} p_k(0) p_{n-k}(x).$$

However, $p_k(x)$ is a basis for the vector space of polynomials, and in characteristic zero the binomial coefficients indicated are never zero, so $p_k(0) = 0$. $\square$

Now, to each sequence of binomial type $p_n(x)$, we associate a linear operator Q defined as

$$Qp_n(x) = np_{n-1}(x). \tag{3}$$

For the moment, the operator Q is defined only for polynomials; later (Section 3), we shall extend its domain to all more general types of functions.

By iteration, we obtain

$$Q^k p_n(x) = (n)_k p_{n-k}(x).$$

Recalling that $\binom{n}{k} = (n)_k / k!$, we can write the binomial identity (eq. 2) in the form

$$p_j(x+a) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(a) \frac{Q^k p_j(x)}{k!}$$

for $j \geq 0$.

Now, let $p(x)$ be any polynomial. Given its expansion $p(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j p_j(x)$ in terms of the basis $p_j(x)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(x+a) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k p_k(x+a) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(a) Q^k \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_j p_j(x) \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(a) \frac{Q^k}{k!} p(x). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

This calculation for all polynomials $p(x)$ can be recast into a more elegant form by introducing the *shift operator*

$$E^a f(x) = f(x+a).$$

We then can write eq. 4 as the operator identity

$$E^a = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(a) Q^k / k! \tag{5}$$

which we shall call the *Taylor's Formula for the sequence $p_n(x)$* . Hence, Newton's formula is nothing more than Taylor's formula for the lower factorials. Again, eq. 5 has been proven only for operations on polynomials for the time being; however, we shall see (Theorem 9) that its domain of validity is considerably greater.

The right side of eq. 5 obviously commutes with Q . Thus,

$$QE^a = E^a Q$$

for all constants a . Any linear operator T with the property that

$$TE^a = E^a T \tag{6}$$

for all scalars a will be said to be a *shift-invariant operator*. A linear shift-invariant operator is called a *delta operator* if its kernel is exactly the field of all constants K .

We have thus proved all of Theorem 1 and the hard part of Theorem 2.

Theorem 1 *Let $p_n(x)$ be a sequence of polynomials of binomial type. Then there exists a unique delta operator such that*

$$Qp_n(x) = np_{n-1}(x)$$

for $n \geq 0$. $\square$

Next, we have the converse.

Theorem 2 *Let Q be a delta operator. Then there exists a unique sequence of polynomials of binomial type $p_n(x)$ satisfying*

$$Qp_n(x) = np_{n-1}(x)$$

for $n \geq 0$.

Proof: We can recursively define $p_n(x)$ subject to the requirements that

- $p_0(x) = 1$,
- For n positive, $p_n(x)$ is in the inverse image of Q on $np_{n-1}(x)$, and
- For n positive, $p_n(0) = 0$.

It remains only to show that the resulting sequence of polynomials obeys eq. 2. By the reasoning before Theorem 1, we again have eq. 4. Finally, setting $p(x) = p_n(x)$ yields the binomial theorem. $\square$

Thus, we see that all of the features of the analogy between the operators D and Δ , and the polynomial sequences x^n and $(x)_n$ are shared by all other delta operators, and their corresponding sequences of binomial type.

Sequences of polynomials of binomial type are of frequent occurrence in combinatorics,² probability, statistics, function theory and representation theory.

1.4 The Abel Polynomials

We note the following striking example of a sequence of polynomials of binomial type. Given a constant b , define *Abel's polynomials*³ as follows

$$A_0(x; b) = 1$$

and for n positive

$$A_n(x; b) = x(x - nb)^{n-1}.$$

²In combinatorics, sequences of binomial type enumerate the number of functions from an n -element set to an x -element set enriched with some mathematical structure on each fiber. For details see [9].

³For example, the Abel polynomial $A_n(x; -1)$ counts the number of *reluctant functions* from an n -element set to an x -element set. A reluctant function is a function enriched with a rooted labelled forest on each fiber.

It is easily verified that, setting $Q = E^b D = D E^b$, we have $Q A_n(x; b) = n A_{n-1}(x; b)$. Hence, Theorem 2 implies at once *Abel's identity*

$$(x + a)(x + a - nb)^{n-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n}{k} a(a - kb)^{k-1} x(x - (n - k)b)^{n-k-1}.$$

Furthermore, Taylor's formula for the sequence of Abel polynomials gives

$$p(x + a) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a(a - kb)^{k-1}}{k!} D^k p(x + kb).$$

For example, for $p(x) = x^n$, we obtain

$$(x + a)^n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a(a - kb)^{k-1}}{k!} (n)_k (x + kb)^{n-k}$$

which is not *a priori* obvious.

In order to devise more examples, it will be helpful to first study shift-invariant operators in greater detail.

2 Shift-Invariant Operators

For convenience, we introduce the following bit of notation. For any function $f(x)$, we write

$$\langle f(x) \rangle_{(0)} = f(0) = [f(x)]_{x=0}. \quad (7)$$

The linear functional $\langle \rangle_{(0)}$ is called the *augmentation* or *evaluation at zero*.

Let $p_n(x)$ be a sequence of binomial type and let Q be its delta operator. Taylor's theorem for $p_n(x)$ can be written in terms of the augmentation (interchanging the roles of x and a)

$$E^a p(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(x) \frac{\langle E^a Q^k p(x) \rangle_{(0)}}{k!}.$$

Now, let T be any shift-invariant operator, and $p(x)$ any polynomial. We have

$$\begin{aligned} E^a T p(x) &= T E^a p(x) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} T p_k(x) \langle E^a Q^k p(x) \rangle_{(0)} / k!. \end{aligned}$$

Again exchanging the role of x and a , therefore

$$Tp(x+a) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle E^a Tp_k(x) \rangle_{(0)}}{k!} Q^k p(x).$$

Setting $a = 0$, we obtain the operator identity

$$T = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k Q^k / k! \tag{8}$$

where $c_k = \langle Tp_k(x) \rangle_{(0)}$.

Thus, we have proven

Theorem 3 (Expansion Theorem) *Given a shift-invariant operator T and a delta operator Q associated to a sequence of binomial type $p_n(x)$ there is a unique expansion given by eq. 8. $\square$*

Actually, some mild continuity conditions must be imposed, but we will refer the reader to the bibliography for such technical (and ultimately trivial) questions of topology.

The expansion theorem is to operators essentially what the generalized Taylor's theorem is to polynomials. Together they allow the expansion of either operators or polynomials any basis.

The above result can be restated in more enlightening terms. Let $K[[t]]$ be the ring of all formal series (sometimes called *Hurwitz series*) in the variable t with coefficients in K

$$f(t) = c_0 + c_1 t + c_2 t^2 / 2! + c_3 t^3 / 3! + \dots$$

Then we have

Theorem 4 (Isomorphism Theorem) *1. For every delta operator Q , one has the following isomorphism of the ring of formal power series $K[[t]]$ onto the ring of shift-invariant operators*

$$f(t) \mapsto \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k Q^k / k! = f(Q). \tag{9}$$

2. Furthermore, let ϕ be an isomorphism of the ring of formal power series with the ring of shift-invariant operators. Then there exists a delta operator Q such that the isomorphism ϕ is of the form eq. 9.

Proof: Part one is immediate from the Expansion Theorem. Part two is classical result concerning formal series where $Q = \phi(t)$. $\square$

In particular for $Q = D$, we notice that all shift-invariant operators are formal power series in the derivative. That is, the ring of shift-invariant operators is $K[[D]]$. Moreover, delta operators $T = T(D)$ are formal power series in the derivative in which the coefficient of D^0 is zero, and the coefficient of D^1 is nonzero. Such series are known as *delta series*.

For example, the shift operator is given by the formal power series

$$E^a = \exp(aD) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a^k D^k / k!.$$

As another example, let $K = \mathbf{C}$ be the complex field, and define the *Laguerre operator* by

$$Lf(x) = - \int_0^{\infty} e^{-y} f'(x+y) dy. \quad (10)$$

The Lagerre operator is obviously a shift-invariant operator since

$$LE^a f(x) = - \int_0^{\infty} e^{-y} f'(x+a+y) dy = E^a Lf(x).$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Lx^n \rangle_{(0)} &= - \int_0^{\infty} e^{-y} n y^{n-1} dy \\ &= [e^{-y} y^n]_{y=0}^{\infty} - \int_0^{\infty} e^{-y} n y^{n-1} dy \\ &= - \int_0^{\infty} e^{-y} n y^{n-1} dy \\ &= - \int_0^{\infty} e^{-y} n(n-1) y^{n-2} dy \\ &\vdots \\ &= - \int_0^{\infty} e^{-y} n! dy \\ &= -n!, \end{aligned}$$

and hence by the Expansion Theorem (Theorem 3), we infer that L is a delta operator and that

$$\begin{aligned} L &= -D - D^2 - D^3 - \dots \\ &= D/(D - I) \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where $I = D^0$ is the identity operator. We will later (Section 4.2) compute the sequence of binomial type associated with the Laguerre operator.

As another example, let W be the *Weierstrass operator* defined as

$$Wf(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y) \exp(-(x-y)^2/2) dy.$$

Again, we calculate $c_n = \langle Lx^n \rangle_{(0)}$. First, note that for n odd, the integrand in question is an odd function, so the integral from $-\infty$ to ∞ is zero. For $n = 0$, it is easier to compute c_0^2 .

$$\begin{aligned} c_0^2 &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(-(x^2 + y^2)/2) dx dy \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \exp(-r^2/2) r dr d\theta \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \exp(-r^2/2) r dr \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \exp(-u) du \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

In general, by integration by parts, we have the following recurrence for c_n

$$\begin{aligned} c_n &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y^n \exp(-y^2/2) dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(- [\exp(-y^2/2) y^{n-1}]_{-\infty}^{\infty} + (n-1) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y^{n-2} \exp(-y^2/2) dy \right) \\ &= 0 + (n-1)c_{n-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for n even,

$$c_n = (n-1)(n-3) \cdots 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 1 = \frac{n!}{(n/2)!2^n}.$$

so that

$$W = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{D^{2n}}{n!2^n} = \exp(D^2/2).$$

From the Isomorphism Theorem (Theorem 4), it follows that a shift-invariant operator has a unique inverse if and only if $T_1 \neq 0$. For example, the Weierstrass operator W has a unique inverse.

Lastly, we compute the *Bernoulli operator*

$$Jf(x) = \int_x^{x+1} f(y)dy$$

where we have

$$\langle Jx^n \rangle_{(0)} = \int_0^1 y^n dy = \left[\frac{y^{n+1}}{n+1} \right]_{y=0}^1 = \frac{1}{n+1}.$$

Thus,

$$J = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{D^k}{(k+1)!} = \frac{e^D - I}{D} = \frac{\Delta}{D}.$$

We close this section with a result which may be considered to be fundamental.

Theorem 5 (Fundamental Theorem of the Calculus of Finite Differences) *Let $p_n(x)$ be the sequence of binomial type associated to a delta operator $Q(D)$. Let $Q^{(-1)}(t)$ be the inverse formal power series of $Q(t)$. That is, suppose that $Q(Q^{(-1)}(t)) = Q^{(-1)}(Q(t)) = t$. Then the exponential generating function for $p_n(x)$ is*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n(x) t^n / n! = \exp(xQ^{(-1)}(t)).$$

Since this result is so important, we give two proofs. A third proof found in [9] is more powerful and applies equally to symmetric functions.

Proof 1: By Proposition 1 and eq. 3, the left hand side is characterized by the property

$$\langle Q(D)^k p(x, t) \rangle_{(0)} = t^k,$$

so it will suffice to verify the right hand side obeys this property equally. Let q_{nk} denote the coefficients of $Q(D)^k = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_{nk} D^n / n!$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle Q(D)^k \exp(xQ^{(-1)}(t)) \right\rangle_{(0)} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle Q(D)^k x^n \rangle_{(0)} (Q^{(-1)}(t))^n / n! \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_{nk} (Q^{(-1)}(t))^n / n! \\ &= Q(Q^{(-1)}(t))^k \\ &= t^k. \square \end{aligned}$$

Proof 2: By Taylor's Formula (eq. 5),

$$\exp(aD) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(a) Q^k / k!.$$

Substituting x for a , and $Q^{(-1)}(t)$ for D , we have the desired result. $\square$

3 Extension to Logarithmic Series

3.1 The Harmonic Logarithms

We shall now extend the domain of every shift-invariant operator to a more general domain of formal series originating from the Hardy field⁴ $\mathcal{L}$ called the Logarithmic Algebra consisting of all expansions of real functions in a neighborhood of infinity in terms of the monomials

$$\ell^\alpha = x^{\alpha_0} \log(x)^{\alpha_1} \log(\log(x))^{\alpha_2} \dots$$

for all vectors of integers⁵ where only a finite⁶ number of the α_i are different from zero.

That is $\mathcal{L}$ is equivalent to the set of all formal sums

$$\sum_{\alpha} b_{\alpha} \ell^{\alpha}$$

where the sum is over vectors of integers α with finite support, and for all nonnegative integers n , all integers $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}$, and all integers β , there exists finitely many $\alpha_n > \beta$ such that there exists integers $\alpha_{n+1}, \alpha_{n+2}, \dots$ (only finitely many different from zero) such that $b_{\alpha} \neq 0$.

Another characterization of $\mathcal{L}$ [6, Theorem 5.4A.4] is that $\mathcal{L}$ contains a dense subset which is the smallest proper *field* extensions of the ring of polynomials with real coefficients such that the derivative D is a derivation of the entire field, and the antiderivative D^{-1} is well defined up to a constant of integration.

It will be noted that the derivative of such an expression is awkward

$$D\ell^{\alpha} = \alpha_0 \ell^{(\alpha_0-1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots)} + \alpha_1 \ell^{(\alpha_0-1, \alpha_1-1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots)} + \alpha_2 \ell^{(\alpha_0-1, \alpha_1-1, \alpha_2-1, \alpha_3, \dots)} + \dots$$

⁴No knowledge of Hardy fields nor of asymptotic expansions is expected of the reader.

⁵We could achieve far greater generality by allowing α to be a vector of reals as in [7]. The definitions in [5] provide one with the basic tools to carry out these calculations.

⁶Since all the vectors we deal with here have finite support, we will usually adopt the convention of not writing the infinite sequence of zeroes they all end with. Thus, we write (1) for the vector (1,0,0,0,...).

Moreover, the formula for the antiderivative of such a function is not known in general, and even in the case $\alpha_0 \neq 1$ when it is known, it is given by a horrendous expression [6, p. 87]. Since the monomials ℓ^α are so unwieldy and do not even begin to compare to the powers of x in terms of ease and simplicity of calculation, we are forced to resort to another basis for the vector space $\mathcal{L}$ which as will be seen is the true *logarithmic analog* of the sequence x^n . This basis is called the *harmonic logarithm* $\lambda_n^\alpha(x)$.

For n an integer, and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots)$ a vector of integers with only a finite number of nonzero entries, the *harmonic logarithm* of degree n and order α is defined by the series

$$\lambda_n^\alpha(x) = \sum_{\rho} \frac{s(-n, \rho_1)}{[-n]!} \left[\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} e_{\rho_i - \rho_{i+1}}(\alpha_i, \alpha_i - 1, \dots, \alpha_i - \rho_i + 1) \right] \ell^{(n), (\alpha - \rho)}$$

where

1. The *linear partition* $\rho = (\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots)$ is a weakly decreasing sequences of nonnegative integers,
2. The *Roman factorial*⁷ $rn!$ is given by the formula

$$[n]! = \begin{cases} n! & \text{for } n \text{ a nonnegative integer, and} \\ (-1)^{n-1}/(-n-1)! & \text{for } n \text{ a negative integer,} \end{cases}$$

3. For any integer n negative, zero or positive, and for any nonnegative integer k , the *Stirling number* $s(n, k)$ is the coefficient of y^k in the Taylor series expansion of $(y)_n = \Gamma(y+1)/\Gamma(y-n+1)$, and
4. The *elementary symmetric function* $e_n(x_1, x_2, \dots)$ is defined the generating function

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e_n(x_1, x_2, \dots) y^n = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 + x_k y).$$

It may be helpful to point out several important special cases.

1. The harmonic logarithms of degree zero are given by

$$\lambda_0^\alpha = \ell^{(0), \alpha} = (\log x)_1^\alpha (\log \log x)_2^\alpha \cdots$$

2. The harmonic logarithm of order $\alpha = (-1)$ and degree one $\lambda_1^{(-1)}(x)$ is the *logarithmic integral* $\text{li}(x) = \int_0^x dt / \log t$.

⁷After Steve Roman. [15, 16, 17].

3. The harmonic logarithms of order $\alpha = (0)$ are given by

$$\lambda_n^{(0)}(x) = \begin{cases} x^n & \text{for } n \geq 0, \text{ and} \\ 0 & \text{for } n < 0. \end{cases}$$

4. The harmonic logarithms of order $\alpha = (1)$ are given by

$$\lambda_n^{(0)}(x) = \begin{cases} x^n (\log x - 1 - \frac{1}{2} - \cdots - \frac{1}{n}) & \text{for } n \geq 0, \text{ and} \\ x^n & \text{for } n < 0. \end{cases}$$

5. The harmonic logarithms of order $\alpha = (2)$ are given by

$$\lambda_n^{(0)}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x^n (\log x)^2 - x^n (\frac{2}{1} + \frac{2}{2} + \cdots + \frac{2}{n})}{\frac{1}{2} x^n [\frac{2}{2} (1 + \frac{1}{2}) + \cdots + \frac{1}{n}]} & \text{for } n \geq 0, \text{ and} \\ 2x^n [\log(x) - 1 - \frac{1}{2} - \cdots - \frac{1}{n-1}] & \text{for } n < 0. \end{cases}$$

6. The harmonic logarithms of order $\alpha = (t)$ where t is a nonnegative integer⁸ are given by the following formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n^{(t)}(x) z^t / t! &= [n]! x^{n+z} \Gamma(z+1) / \Gamma(z+n+1) \\ \lambda_n^{(t)} &= x^n [n]! (xD)_{-n} (\log x)^t \\ &= x^n \sum_{k=0}^t [n]! (t)_k s(-n, k) (\log x)^{t-k}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we can give the association between the derivative and the harmonic logarithms; the harmonic logarithms behave under derivation exactly like the powers of x once the ordinary factorial $n!$ is replaced by the Roman factorial $[n]!$.

Theorem 6 *For all integers n , and vectors of integers with finite support α , we have*

$$D\lambda_{n+1}^\alpha(x) = [n+1] \lambda_n^\alpha(x) \quad (12)$$

where

$$[n] = \frac{[n]!}{[n-1]!} = \begin{cases} n & \text{for } n \neq 0, \text{ and} \\ 1 & \text{for } n = 0. \end{cases}$$

More generally, for any nonnegative integer k ,

$$D^k \lambda_{n+k}^\alpha = \frac{[n+k]!}{[n]!} \lambda_n^\alpha(x).$$

⁸Our original paper [12] dealt exclusively with this case.

Proof: By induction, it will suffice to demonstrate eq. 12. The following proof is a direct application of the recursion for the Stirling numbers. (See [11].)

$$\begin{aligned}
& D\lambda_{n+1}^\alpha(x) \\
&= \sum_{\rho} \frac{s(-n-1, \rho_1)}{[-n-1]!} \left[\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} e_{\rho_i - \rho_{i+1}}(\alpha_i, \alpha_i - 1, \dots, \alpha_i - \rho_i + 1) \right] D\ell^{(n+1), (\alpha-\rho)} \\
&= \sum_{\rho} \frac{s(-n-1, \rho_1)}{[-n-1]!} \left[\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} e_{\rho_i - \rho_{i+1}}(\alpha_i, \alpha_i - 1, \dots, \alpha_i - \rho_i + 1) \right] \\
&\quad \left[(a+1)\ell^{(n), (\alpha-\rho)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_k - \rho_k) \ell^{(n), (\alpha-\rho - \overbrace{(1,1,\dots,1)}^n)} \right] \\
&= \sum_{\rho} \frac{(a+1)s(-n-1, \rho_1) + s(-n-1, \rho_1)}{[-n-1]!} \\
&\quad \left[\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} e_{\rho_i - \rho_{i+1}}(\alpha_i, \alpha_i - 1, \dots, \alpha_i - \rho_i + 1) \right] \ell^{(n), (\alpha-\rho)} \\
&= \sum_{\rho} \frac{s(-n, \rho_1)}{[-n-1]!} \left[\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} e_{\rho_i - \rho_{i+1}}(\alpha_i, \alpha_i - 1, \dots, \alpha_i - \rho_i + 1) \right] \ell^{(n), (\alpha-\rho)} \\
&= [n+1] \lambda_n^\alpha(x). \square
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, if we denote by $\mathcal{L}^+$ the closure of the span of the harmonic logarithm $\lambda_n^\alpha(x)$ with $\alpha \neq 0$, then we notice that D restricted to $\mathcal{L}^+$ is a bijection. In particular, the antiderivative is given by

$$D^{-1}\lambda_n^\alpha(x) = \lambda_{n+1}^\alpha(x)/[n+1].$$

We can thus characterize the harmonic logarithms by the relationship.

$$\lambda_n^\alpha(x) = [n]! D^{-n} \ell^{(0), \alpha} = [n]! D^{-n} \lambda_0^\alpha.$$

Now, let us dissect the logarithmic algebra even more finely. Let $\mathcal{L}^\alpha$ denote the logarithmic series involving $\lambda_n^\alpha(x)$ for all integers n and a fixed α . Evidently, $\mathcal{L}^+$ is the direct sum of the $\mathcal{L}^\alpha$ for $\alpha \neq (0)$.

Theorem 7 (Roman Modules) *All of the vector spaces $\mathcal{L}^\alpha$ for $\alpha \neq (0)$ are naturally isomorphic as differential vector spaces.*

Proof: The isomorphism is given by the *skip operator*

$$\text{skip}_{\alpha\beta}\lambda_n^\beta(x) = \lambda_n^\alpha(x). \square$$

In view of the preceeding theory, most of our calculations can be made in $\mathcal{L}^{(1)}$ which is called the *Roman module* after Steve Roman. The Roman module consists of series of the form

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{-1} c_j x^j + \sum_{j=0}^n c_j x^j \left(\log x - 1 - \frac{1}{2} - \cdots - \frac{1}{j} \right) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^n c_j \lambda_j^{(1)}(x);$$

that is, there are a finite number of terms in which $\log x$ appears, and an infinite seriees in inverse powers of x . Thus, the Roman module is fairly convenient for our calculations. All of our concrete examples will be drawn from the Roman module.

However, $\mathcal{L}^{(0)}$ is not isomorphic to the Roman module; it is isomorphic to the algebra of polynomials $\mathbf{C}[x]$.

3.2 Shift-Invariant Operators

A linear operator Q will be called *shift-invariant* if not only does it commute with the shift operator $E^a = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n D^n / n!$ for all a , but also that it commutes with the *skip operators* $\text{skip}_{\alpha\beta}$ from the logarithmic algebra $\mathcal{L}$ to $\mathcal{L}^\alpha$

$$\text{skip}_{\alpha\beta}\lambda_n^\gamma(x) = \delta_{\beta\gamma}\lambda_n^\alpha(x)$$

for $\beta \neq (0)$.

Any shift-invariant operator on $\mathcal{L}$ is obviously shift-invariant (in the sense of eq. 6) when restricted to $\mathcal{L}^{(0)} = \mathbf{C}[x]$. Conversely, any shift-invariant operator Q on $\mathbf{C}[x]$ can be expanded as a formal series in the derivative $Q(D)$, and thus Q can be extended to all $\mathcal{L}$. However, are these the only shift-invariant operators which act on $\mathcal{L}$? On $\mathcal{L}^+$?

Theorem 8 (Characterization of Shift-Invariant Operators)

(1) The algebra of shift-invariant operators on $\mathcal{L}^+$ is naturally isomorphic to the algebra of Laurent series⁹ in the derivative $\mathbf{C}(D)$.

(2) The algebra of shift-invariant operators on $\mathcal{L}$ is naturally isomorphic to the algebra of formal power series in the derivative $\mathbf{C}[[D]]$.

⁹A *Laurent series* is a formal series of the form

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} c_i x^i$$

where n may be any constant positive or negative.

Proof: It will suffice to prove part one, since the only Laurent series in the derivative which are well defined on $\mathcal{L}^{(0)}$ are those which involve no negative powers of the derivative; that is, members of the algebra of formal power series in the derivative.

Since the derivative commutes with $\text{skip}_{\alpha\beta}$ and E^a , all Laurent series in the derivative are shift-invariant operators on $\mathcal{L}^+$.

Conversely, suppose that Q is a shift-invariant operator. Q is determined by its actions on the harmonic logarithms of order α for any particular $\alpha \neq (0)$.

$$Q\lambda_n^\alpha(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^n c_{nk} \lambda_k^\alpha(x).$$

Next, since Q commutes with E^a for all a , it also commutes with D^n for all n . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=-\infty}^k c_{kj} \frac{[k]!}{[k-j]!} \lambda_{k-j}^\alpha(x) &= D^n Q \lambda_k^\alpha(x) \\ &= Q D^n \lambda_k^\alpha(x) \\ &= \frac{[k]!}{[k-n]!} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{k-n} c_{n-k,j} \lambda_j^\alpha(x). \end{aligned}$$

Equating coefficients of $\lambda_n^\alpha(x)$, and setting $a = b$, we have

$$c_{0j} = \left[\begin{matrix} j \\ k \end{matrix} \right] c_{k,j+k}$$

where the *Roman coefficient* $\left[\begin{matrix} j \\ k \end{matrix} \right]$ is defined to be $[j]! / [k]! [j-k]!$.

Hence, Q is determined by the c_{0j} , and therefore equals the Laurent series $\sum_{j=-\infty}^m c_{0j} D^j / [j]!$ where m is the largest j such that $c_{0j} \neq 0$. $\square$

Since the algebra of Laurent series is a field, we immediately derive that all shift-invariant operators on $\mathcal{L}^+$ are invertible. Thus,

Corollary 1 (Differential Equations) (1) *All linear differential equations with constant coefficients on $\mathcal{L}^+$ have a unique solution. That is, any equation of the form*

$$f(D)p(x) = q(x)$$

(where $q(x) \in \mathcal{L}^+$) *has a unique solution $p(x) \in \mathcal{L}^+$.*

(2) *All linear differential equations on $\mathcal{L}$ have solutions. Of these, one particular solution can be naturally chosen as the canonical one.*

Proof: We have just proven 1. The proof for 2 (see [7, §2.4.4A]) relies on the use of the projection maps $\text{skip}_\alpha \beta$. $\square$

3.3 Binomial Theorem

Any shift-invariant operator acts on each level $\mathcal{L}^\alpha$ in the same way. For example, consider the logarithmic version of the binomial theorem.

$$E^a \lambda_n^\alpha(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a^k D^k \lambda_n^\alpha(x) / k! = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} a^k \lambda_{n-k}^\alpha(x). \quad (13)$$

Several special cases are of particular interest. For $\alpha = (0)$, we have the standard binomial theorem. For $\alpha = (1)$, n positive, and $x = 1$, we have [6, p. 97]

$$\begin{aligned} & (1+a)^n \log(1+a) \\ &= ((1+a)^n - 1) \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n}\right) - na \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n-1}\right) \\ & \quad - \binom{n}{2} a^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n-2}\right) - \cdots - \frac{3}{2} \binom{n}{n-2} a^{n-2} - na^{n-1} \\ & \quad + a^{n+1} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} + a^{n+2} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ -2 \end{bmatrix} + \cdots. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for $\alpha = (\overbrace{0, 0, \dots, 0}^{k-1}, 1)$ and $n = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \overbrace{\log \log \cdots \log}^n(x+a) \\ &= \overbrace{\log \log \cdots \log}^n(x) + \sum_{\rho_1 \geq \rho_2 \geq \cdots \geq \rho_k = 1} (-1)^{\rho_1 + \cdots + \rho_{k-2} + 2\rho_{k-1} + 1} \\ & \quad \times \left[\prod_{j=1}^{k-2} e_{\rho_j - \rho_{j+1}}(1, \dots, \rho_j - 1) \right] \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n (n-1)! s(-n, \rho_1) \ell^{(-n, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_{k-1})} \end{aligned}$$

This framework immediately gives rise to logarithmic versions of Taylor's theorem and the expansion theorem in terms of the logarithmic generalization of evaluation at zero or augmentation. The *augmentation*¹⁰ of order α is defined to be the linear functional $\langle \rangle_\alpha$ from the logarithmic algebra $\mathcal{L}$ to the complex number $\mathbf{C}$ such that

$$\langle \lambda_n^\beta(x) \rangle_\alpha = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{n0}.$$

¹⁰The restriction of the augmentation of order (0) to $\mathcal{L}^{(0)}$ is the evaluation at zero mentioned in eq. 7. The other augmentations can be profitably be rewritten in terms of the residue at zero.

Theorem 9 (Logarithmic Taylor's Theorem) *Let $p(x) \in \mathcal{L}^+$. Then we have the following expansion of $p(x)$ in terms of the harmonic logarithms.*

$$p(x) = \sum_{\alpha \neq (0)} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\langle D^n p(x) \rangle_{\alpha}}{[n]!} \lambda_n^{\alpha}(x). \quad (14)$$

Proof: It suffices to show that eq. 14 holds for a basis of $\mathcal{L}^+$. However, Theorem 6 states that eq. 14 holds for the basis of harmonic logarithms. $\square$

Theorem 10 (Logarithmic Expansion Theorem) *(1) Let $Q(D)$ be a shift-invariant operator on $\mathcal{L}^+$. Then we have the following expansion of $Q(D)$ in terms of the powers of the derivative*

$$Q(D) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\langle Q(D) \lambda_n^{\alpha}(x) \rangle_{\alpha}}{[n]!} D^n$$

where $\alpha \neq (0)$.

(2) Let $Q(D)$ be a shift-invariant operator on $\mathcal{L}$. Then we have the following expansion of $Q(D)$ in terms of the powers of the derivative

$$Q(D) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle Q(D) \lambda_n^{\alpha}(x) \rangle_{\alpha}}{n!} D^n$$

where α is any vector of integers with finite support.

Proof: Theorem is immediately for $Q(D) = D^n$ from Theorem 6. $\square$

We now see that the sequence of harmonic logarithms $\lambda_n^{\alpha}(x)$ is in some sense (to be made clear later) of “binomial type,” and that in this sense the harmonic logarithms are associated with the derivative operator.

3.4 The Logarithmic Lower Factorial

We can now extend to the logarithmic domain the analogy between the derivative operator D and the forward difference operator Δ which has been noted for polynomials.

Since $\mathcal{L}^{(0)}$ is the algebra of polynomials, one would rightly expect that the logarithmic version $p_n^{\alpha}(x) = (x)_n^{\alpha}$ of the lower factorial function $p_n(x) = (x)_n$ would include the subsequence

$$p_n^{(0)}(x) = (x)_n^{(0)} = \begin{cases} (x)_n = x(x-1) \cdots (x-n+1) & \text{for } n \text{ nonnegative, and} \\ 0 & \text{for } n \text{ negative.} \end{cases}$$

It has been known for a long time that, setting for n negative,

$$p_n(x) = (x)_n = 1/(x+1)(x+2)\cdots(x-n),$$

one has

$$\Delta p_n(x) = n(x)_{n-1}.$$

Given this preliminary information,¹¹ we guess that when n is negative, $1/(x+1)\cdots(x-n)$ is to the forward difference operator as x^n is to the derivative. Thus, we write

$$p_n^{(1)}(x) = (x)_n^{(1)} = 1/(x+1)(x+2)\cdots(x+n)$$

for n negative.

This leave open the question of what is the forward difference version of the logarithm $\lambda_0^{(1)}(x) = \log x$ and of the harmonic logarithms

$$\lambda_n^{(1)}(x) = x^n \left(\log x - 1 - \frac{1}{2} - \cdots - \frac{1}{n} \right)$$

for n positive. In other words, what is the formal solution $p_0^{(1)}(x) = (x)_0^{(1)}$ of the difference equation $\Delta p(x) = 1/(x+1)$? This question was first formulated by Gauss; in the present context, it can be dealt with very easily. By Corollary 1, all such difference equations have a unique solutions.

In fact, we can easily calculate $(x)_0^{(1)}$. Since, the *Bernoulli numbers* B_n are defined as the coefficients of Δ^{-1} :

$$\frac{D}{e^D - 1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k D^k / k!,$$

we have

$$p_0^{(1)}(x) = (x)_0^{(1)} = \log(x+1) + B_1/(x+1) - B_2/2(x+1)^2 + B_3/3(x+1)^3 - \cdots.$$

Note that $(x-1)_0^{(1)} = \psi(x)$ is the *Gauss psi function*. In this domain, we verify that

$$\psi(x) = \Gamma'(x)/\Gamma(x)$$

as follows. Begin with the fundamental identity for the Gamma function,

$$\Gamma(x+1) = x\Gamma(x).$$

¹¹This information is only preliminary since without the residual theorem (Theorem 14) to pinpoint the exact value of $p_{-1}(x)$, the entire sequence might be off by a factor equal to a shift-invariant operator of degree zero $a_0 + a_1 D + a_2 D^2 + \cdots$.

Now, take the derivative of both sides.

$$\Gamma'(x+1) = \Gamma(x) + x\Gamma(x).$$

Next, divide both sides by $\Gamma(x+1) = x\Gamma(x)$.

$$\frac{\Gamma'(x+1)}{\Gamma(x+1)} = \frac{1}{x} + \frac{\Gamma'(x)}{\Gamma(x)}.$$

Finally, note that

$$\frac{1}{x} = \Delta \frac{\Gamma'(x)}{\Gamma(x)}.$$

Thus, $\Gamma'(x)/\Gamma(x)$ equals $(x)_0^{(1)}$ possibly up to a constant.

For the logarithmic lower factorial of order (1) and positive degree n , we simply employ the higher order Bernoulli numbers

$$p_n^{(1)}(x) = (x)_n^{(1)} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_{k,n+1} \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right] \lambda_{n-k}^{\alpha}(x+1)$$

where

$$\left(\frac{D}{eD-1} \right)^n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_{kn} D^k / k!.$$

Finally, to compute the logarithmic lower factorials of order $\alpha \neq (1)$, merely use the projection maps.

$$p_n^{\alpha}(x) = (x)_n^{\alpha} = \text{skip}_{\alpha,(1)}(x)_n^{(1)}.$$

To carry the analogy to the end, we note that by eq. 5 and part 2 of Theorem 10, we still have

$$E^{\alpha} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a)_n \Delta^n / n!.$$

From this, we have the logarithmic version of VanderMonde's identity

$$(x+a)_n^{\alpha} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right] (a)_k (x)_{n-k}^{\alpha}$$

for all integers n . Similarly, we have the logarithmic analog of the Newton's formula

$$f(x) = \sum_{\alpha \neq (0)} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle \Delta^n f(x) \rangle_{\alpha} (x)_n^{\alpha} / n!.$$

We note that this formula constitutes a substantial extension of Noerton's formula. For example, if $f(x) = 1/x$, then we have for n negative¹²

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta^n \frac{1}{x} &= \left\langle E^{-1} \Delta^n (x)_{-1}^{(1)} \right\rangle_{(1)} \\
&= \frac{\left\langle E^{-1} (x)_{-n-1}^{(1)} \right\rangle_{(1)}}{(-n-1)!} \\
&= \frac{\left\langle E^{-1} (x)_{-n-1}^{(0)} \right\rangle_{(0)}}{(-n-1)!} \\
&= \left[(x)_{-n-1}^{(0)} / (-n-1)! \right]_{x=-1} \\
&= (-1)(-2) \cdots (n) / (-n-1)! \\
&= (-1)^{n+1} n.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{x} &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{-1} \frac{(-1)^{n+1} n}{[n]!} (x)_n^{(1)} \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{n!}{(x+1)(x+2) \cdots (x+n)}. \tag{15}
\end{aligned}$$

3.5 Logarithmic Sequences of Binomial Type

As we did with polynomials, we too can now extend the interplay between the derivative D and the forward difference operator into a more general framework involving all delta operators.¹³ Instead of sequences of polynomials $p_n(x)$, we have *logarithmic sequences* $p_n^\alpha(x)$ indexed by an integer n and a vector with finite support of integers α . Again we have a requirement that $p_n^\alpha(x)$ be of *degree* n , and also a new requirement that it be of *order* α ; that is to say,

$$p_n^\alpha(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^n c_k \lambda_k^\alpha(x)$$

with $c_n \neq 0$. In particular, $p_n^{(0)}(x)$ is a sequence of polynomials: one of each degree with $p_n^{(0)}(x) = 0$ for n negative. More generally, the $p_n^\alpha(x)$ each belong to $\mathcal{L}^\alpha$ and in fact form a basis for it.

¹²Obviously, for n nonnegative, $\left\langle \Delta^n \left(\frac{1}{x} \right) \right\rangle_{(1)} = 0$.

¹³As before, a delta operator is a delta series in the derivative; that is a shift-invariant operator whose kernel is the set of constants—in this case $\mathbf{R}$.

The only two examples of logarithmic sequences which we have seen so far are the harmonic logarithm $\lambda_n^\alpha(x)$ and the logarithmic lower factorial $(x)_n^\alpha$. However, there are clearly many more; every sequence of polynomials can be extended in many ways into a logarithmic sequence. However, we are particularly interested in sequences of polynomials of binomial type. We seek a natural definition of a logarithmic sequence of binomial type such that every sequence of polynomials has one unique such extension. The following theorem is exactly what we are seeking.

Theorem 11 (Logarithmic Sequences of Binomial Type) *Let $p_n(x)$ be a sequence of polynomials of binomial type. Then there exists a unique logarithmic sequence $p_n^\alpha(x)$ called the logarithmic sequence of binomial type which*

extends $p_n(x)$

$$p_n^{(0)}(x) = p_n(x) \text{ for all integers } n, \quad (16)$$

obeys an analog of the binomial theorem

$$p_n^\alpha(x+a) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} p_k(a) p_{n-k}^\alpha(x) \quad (17)$$

for all integers n and constants a , and is invariant under the skip operator $\text{skip}_{\alpha\beta}$ for $\beta \neq (0)$; that is to say,

$$\text{skip}_{\alpha\beta} p_n^\alpha(x) = \delta_{\beta\gamma} p_n^\alpha(x). \quad (18)$$

Proof: Existence Let $f(D)$ be the delta operator associated with $p_n(x)$ and let $g(D)$ be its compositional inverse.¹⁴ Now define $p_n^\alpha(x)$ to be what is known commonly as the *conjugate logarithmic sequence* for $g(D)$.

$$p_n^\alpha(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^n \frac{\langle g(D)^k \lambda_n^\alpha(x) \rangle_\alpha}{[n]!} \lambda_k^\alpha(x).$$

After briefly noting that $p_n^\alpha(x)$ is a well defined logarithmic sequence invariant under the skip operators, it will now suffice to prove it obeys eqs. 16 and 17. This will follow immediately from the following two lemmas.

Lemma 11.1 (Associated Logarithmic Sequences) *Let $p_n^\alpha(x)$ be the conjugate logarithmic sequence for the delta operator $g(D)$ whose compositional inverse is $f(D)$. Then $p_n^\alpha(x)$ is the associated logarithmic sequence for $f(D)$ in the sense that*

$$\langle p_n^\alpha(x) \rangle_\alpha = \delta_{n0} \quad (19)$$

$$f(D) p_n^\alpha(x) = [n] p_{n-1}^\alpha(x). \quad (20)$$

¹⁴They are compositional inverses in the sense of composition of formal power series in the derivative. That is, $f(g(D)) = D = g(f(D))$

Proof: Eq. 19 follows directly from the definition of a conjugate logarithmic sequence and the augmentation,

$$\langle p_n^\alpha(x) \rangle_\alpha = \langle \lambda_n^\alpha(x) \rangle / [0]! = \delta_{n0}.$$

For eq. 20, we must first write $f(D)$ in terms of D^n : $f(D) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k D^k$. Then we proceed as follow

$$\begin{aligned} f(D)p_n^\alpha(x) &= \sum_{k,j \geq 0} a_j \frac{\langle g(D)^k \lambda_n^\alpha(x) \rangle_\alpha}{[k-j]!} \lambda_{k-j}^\alpha(x) \\ &= \sum_{k,j \geq 0} \frac{\langle a_j g(D)^{k+j} \lambda_n^\alpha(x) \rangle_\alpha}{[k]!} \lambda_k^\alpha(x) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j g(D)^j \right) g(D)^k \lambda_n^\alpha(x) \rangle_\alpha}{[k]!} \lambda_k^\alpha(x) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle D g(D)^k \lambda_n^\alpha(x) \rangle_\alpha}{[k]!} \lambda_k^\alpha(x) \\ &= [n] \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle g(D)^k \lambda_{n-1}^\alpha(x) \rangle_\alpha}{[k]!} \lambda_k^\alpha(x) \\ &= [n] p_{n-1}^\alpha(x). \square \end{aligned}$$

Note that in the case $\alpha = (0)$, $p_n^{(0)}(0) = \delta_{n0}$ and $f(D)p_n^{(0)}(x) = np_{n-1}^{(0)}(x)$, so that $p_n^{(0)}(x)$ is associated to the delta operator $f(D)$. Hence, we have eq. 16.

Lemma 11.2 *Let $p_n^\alpha(x)$ be the associated logarithmic sequence for the delta operator $f(D)$. Then for all shift-invariant operators $h(D)$ we have*

$$h(D)p_n^\alpha(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right] \langle h(D)p_k^\alpha(x) \rangle_\alpha p_{n-k}^\alpha(x).$$

Proof: It suffices to prove the lemma for $h(D) = f(D)^j$ since the powers of the delta operator $f(D)$ form a basis for the space of shift-invariant operators. However, by the definition of an associated logarithmic sequence, we immediately $\langle f(D)^j p_k^\alpha(x) \rangle = \delta_{jk} [j]!$. Thus,

$$f(D)^j p_n^\alpha(x) = \frac{[n]!}{[n-j]!} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right] \langle f(D)^j p_k^\alpha(x) \rangle_\alpha p_{n-k}^\alpha(x). \square$$

Finally, eq. 17 follows from the lemma with $h(D) = E^a$.

Uniqueness Now it remains only to show the uniqueness of logarithmic sequences of binomial type. We will do so in two lemmas. The first shows that all logarithmic sequences of binomial type are associated logarithmic sequences, and the other shows that every delta operator has a unique associated logarithmic sequence. These two lemmas will complete the proof of Theorem 11.

Lemma 11.3 *Let $p_n^\alpha(x)$ be a logarithmic sequence of binomial type; that is, let it obey eq. 17. Then there is a unique delta operator $f(D)$ such that $p_n^\alpha(x)$ is associated with $f(D)$. That is, $p_n^\alpha(x)$ obeys eqs. 19 and 20.*

Proof: Eq. 19 immediately follows from Proposition 1. Now, we define a linear operator Q by

$$Qp_n^\alpha(x) = [n] p_{n-1}^\alpha(x).$$

Eq. 20 is equivalent to the proposition that Q is a delta operator. Since Q obviously commutes with $\text{skip}_{\alpha\beta}$ for $\beta \neq (0)$, and the kernel of Q is clearly the set of real constants $\mathbf{R}$, it remains only to show that Q commutes with the shift operator E^a .

$$\begin{aligned} QE^a p_n^\alpha(x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} p_k(a) Qp_{n-k}^\alpha(x) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} [n-k] p_k(a) p_{n-k-1}^\alpha(x) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} [n] p_k(a) p_{n-k-1}^\alpha(x) \\ &= E^a Qp_n^\alpha(x). \square \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 11.4 *Let $f(D)$ be a delta operator. Then there is exactly one logarithmic sequence $p_n^\alpha(x)$ associated with $f(D)$.*

Proof: By Taylor's theorem (Theorem 9), $p_n^\alpha(x)$ is determined by its augmentations $a_k = \langle D^k p_n^\alpha(x) \rangle_\alpha$. However, since $f(D)^k$ is a basis for the space of shift-invariant operators, the augmentations a_k are determined by the augmentations $b_k = \langle f(D)^k p_n^\alpha(x) \rangle_\alpha$ which we know are equal to $[n]! \delta_{nk}$. $\square$ QED

We also derive—in the same manner as Lemma 11.2—generalizations of the logarithmic Taylor's theorem and expansion theorem.

Theorem 12 (Logarithmic Taylor's Theorem) *Let $p_n^\alpha(x)$ be the logarithmic sequence associated with the delta operator $f(D)$. Then any logarithmic series $p(x) \in \mathcal{L}^+$ can be expanded*

$$p(x) = \sum_{\alpha \neq (0)} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{N_\alpha} \frac{\langle f(D)^n p(x) \rangle_\alpha}{[n]!} p_n^\alpha(x).$$

Theorem 13 (Logarithmic Expansion Theorem) *Let $p_n^\alpha(x)$ be the logarithmic sequence associated with the delta operator $f(D)$, and let $\alpha \neq (0)$. Then any shift-invariant operator $g(D)$ can be expanded*

$$g(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\langle g(D) p_n^\alpha(x) \rangle_\alpha}{[n]!} f(D)^n.$$

3.6 Explicit Formulas

We note that if any term of the logarithmic sequence of binomial type $p_n^{(1)}(x)$ associated with the delta operator $f(D)$ is known a priori, then all of the other terms can be computed in terms of it by appropriate use of the various powers of $f(D)$ and the projections $\text{skip}_{\alpha,(1)}$. In particular, we only need to determine the term $p_{-1}^{(1)}(x)$ which is called the *residual term*, and then

$$p_n^\alpha(x) = \text{skip}_{\alpha,(1)} f(D)^{-n-1} p_{-1}^{(1)}(x) / [n]!.$$

Thus, the following result is extremely fundamental,

Theorem 14 (Residual Term) *Let $p_n^\alpha(x)$ be the logarithmic sequence associated with the delta operator $f(D)$, and let $\alpha \neq (0)$. Then¹⁵*

$$p_{-1}^{(1)}(x) = f'(D) \frac{1}{x}.$$

Proof: We will actually prove a seemingly stronger result

$$p_n^\alpha(x) = [n]! f'(D) f(D)^{-1-n} \lambda_{-1}^\alpha(x). \quad (21)$$

¹⁵The operator $f'(D)$ called the *Pincherle derivative* of $f(D)$ represents the operator $g(D)$ where $g(t) = \frac{df(t)}{dt}$. Thus, if $f(D) = D^n$, then $f'(D) = nD^{n-1}$. Another characterization of the Pincherle derivative will be given later. (Theorem 2)

Let $q_n^\alpha(x)$ denote the right hand side of eq. 21. Clearly, $q_n^\alpha(x)$ is a logarithmic sequence, so by Lemma 11.4, it will suffice to show that $q_n^\alpha(x)$ is associated with the delta operator $f(D)$. Of the two defining properties, eq. 20 is trivial to demonstrate.

$$f(D)q_n^\alpha(x) = [n] [n-1]! f'(D)f(D)^{-n} \lambda_{-1}^\alpha(x) = [n] q_{n-1}^\alpha(x).$$

To prove eq. 19, we first consider the case $n \neq 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle p_n^\alpha(x) \rangle_\alpha &= \langle [n]! f'(D)f(D)^{-1-n} \lambda_{-1}^\alpha(x) \rangle_\alpha \\ &= \frac{[n]!}{-n} \left\langle (f(D)^{-n})' \lambda_{-1}^\alpha(x) \right\rangle_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

However, $\left\langle (f(D)^{-n})' \lambda_{-1}^\alpha(x) \right\rangle_\alpha$ is given by the coefficient of D^{-1} in $(f(D)^{-n})'$; however, this coefficient is always zero.¹⁶ Thus, $\langle p_n^\alpha(x) \rangle_\alpha = 0$ for $n \neq 0$.

Whereas for $n = 0$, we have

$$\langle p_0^\alpha(x) \rangle_\alpha = \langle f'(D)f(D)^{-1} \lambda_{-1}^\alpha(x) \rangle_\alpha.$$

However, $f'(D)f(D)^{-1}$ is equal to D^{-1} plus terms of higher degree, so

$$\langle p_0^\alpha(x) \rangle_\alpha = 1. \square$$

Theorem 14 allows us to derive a series of formulas—as explicit as possible—for the computation of sequences of polynomials of binomial type or logarithmic sequence of binomial type associated with a delta operator $f(D)$.

However, we require one last definition. We define on the logarithmic algebra the *Roman shift*¹⁷ as the linear operator σ such that for all α

$$\sigma \lambda_n^\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} \lambda_{n+1}^\alpha(x) & \text{for } n \neq -1, \text{ and} \\ 0 & \text{for } n = -1. \end{cases}$$

The Roman shift is the logarithmic generalization of multiplication by x . In particular, note that for $n \neq -1$,

$$\sigma x^n = x^{n+1}.$$

We observe the following remarkable facts about the Roman shift.

¹⁶This theorem will be seen to be equivalent to the Lagrange inversion formula (Theorem 17). The observation here that the residue of the derivative of a formal power series is zero is the key step in all known proofs of the Lagrange inversion formula.

¹⁷Named after Steve Roman. Sometimes also called the *Standard Roman Shift* or the *Roman Shift for D* or the *Roman Shift for $\lambda_n^\alpha(x)$* .

Proposition 2 (Pincherle Derivative) For all logarithmic series $p(x) \in \mathcal{L}$,

$$(D\sigma - \sigma D)p(x) = p(x); \quad (22)$$

in other words,

$$D\sigma - \sigma D = I.$$

More generally, for all shift-invariant operators $f(D)$, we have the operator identity

$$f(D)\sigma - \sigma f(D) = f'(D). \quad (23)$$

Proof: It will suffice to consider the case $f(D) = D^k$ and $\lambda_n^\alpha(x)$. When $k = 0$, eq. 23 is a triviality. Now, consider the case in which n is neither $k - 1$ nor -1 :

$$\begin{aligned} (D^k\sigma - \sigma D^k)\lambda_n^\alpha(x) &= D^k\lambda_{n+1}^\alpha(x) - \frac{[n]!}{[n-k]!}\sigma\lambda_{n-k}^\alpha(x) \\ &= \left(\frac{[n+1]!}{[n-k+1]!} - \frac{[n]!}{[n-k]!} \right) \lambda_{n-k+1}^\alpha(x) \\ &= ([n+1] - [n-k+1]) \frac{[n]!}{[n-k+1]!} \lambda_{n-k+1}^\alpha(x) \\ &= kD^{k-1}\lambda_n^\alpha(x). \end{aligned}$$

Next, consider the case in which $n = -1$ but $k \neq 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} (D^k\sigma - \sigma D^k)\lambda_{-1}^\alpha(x) &= -\sigma D^k\lambda_{-1}^\alpha(x) \\ &= -\frac{1}{[-k-1]!}\sigma\lambda_{-k-1}^\alpha(x) \\ &= -[-k] \frac{1}{[-k]!}\lambda_{-k}^\alpha(x) \\ &= kD^{k-1}\lambda_{-1}^\alpha(x). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, consider the case in which $n = k - 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} (D^k\sigma - \sigma D^k)\lambda_n^\alpha(x) &= D^k\lambda_{n+1}^\alpha(x) - \frac{[n]!}{[n-k]!}\sigma\lambda_{-1}^\alpha(x) \\ &= [n+1]!\lambda_0^\alpha(x) \\ &= [n+1]D^n\lambda_n^\alpha(x) \\ &= kD^{k-1}\lambda_n^\alpha(x). \square \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we see that the Roman shift gives a non-trivial extension of the classical commutation relation $Dx - xD = I$ of quantum mechanics in the logarithmic algebra. In effect, eq. 22 is really quite incredible, for Van Neuman has shown

[21] that for polynomials¹⁸ the only operators (θ, ϕ) obeying the commutation relation.

$$\theta\phi - \phi\theta = I$$

are $\theta = D$ and $\phi = x$. However, by eq. 22, we see that for logarithmic series, $\theta = D$ and $\phi = \sigma$ is yet another solution.

Theorem 15 (Rorigues) *Let $p(x)$ be a finite linear combination of the harmonic logarithms. Then for all real numbers a , we have the following formal identity*

$$(\exp(-a\sigma)D\exp(a\sigma))p(x) = (D - aI)p(x). \square$$

We can now state our main result.

Theorem 16 *Let $f(D) = Dg(D)$ be the delta operator associated both with the logarithmic sequence $p_n^\alpha(x)$, and with the sequence of polynomials $p_n(x) = p_n^{(0)}(x)$. Then we have,*

1. **(Transfer Formula)** *For all n ,*

$$p_n^\alpha(x) = f'(D)g(D)^{-n-1}\lambda_n^\alpha(x),$$

2. **(Shift Formula)** *For n neither 0 nor -1 ,*

$$p_n^\alpha(x) = \sigma g(D)^{-n}\lambda_{n-1}^\alpha(x),$$

and

3. **(Recurrence Formula)** *For $n \neq -1$,*

$$p_n^\alpha(x) = \sigma f'(D)^{-1}p_{n-1}^\alpha(x).$$

In particular, for the polynomial sequence $p_n(x)$, we have

1. **(Transfer Formula)**

$$p_n(x) = f'(D)g(D)^{-n-1}x^n,$$

2. **(Shift Formula)**

$$p_n(x) = xg(D)^{-n}x^{n-1},$$

and

¹⁸Since then others have shown that this result holds for much more general sorts of functions although obviously not for logarithmic series.

3. (Recurrence Formula)

$$p_n(x) = xf'(D)^{-1}p_{n-1}(x).$$

Proof: (1) From eq. 21, we have for $\alpha \neq (0)$

$$p_n^\alpha(x) = [n]!f'(D)g(D)^{n+1}D^{-1-n}\lambda_{-1}^\alpha(x) = f'(D)g(D)^{n+1}\lambda_n^\alpha(x).$$

For $\alpha = (0)$, we derive the result from the case $\alpha = (1)$ as follows¹⁹

$$\begin{aligned} p_n(x) &= \text{skip}_{(0),(1)}p_n^{(1)}(x) \\ &= \text{skip}_{(0),(1)}f'(D)g(D)^{n+1}\lambda_n^{(1)}(x) \\ &= f'(D)g(D)^{n+1}\text{skip}_{(0),(1)}\lambda_n^{(1)}(x) \\ &= f'(D)g(D)^{n+1}x^n. \end{aligned}$$

(2) For this part, we need the following routine calculation

$$f'(D)g(D)^{n+1} = g(D)^n - \frac{1}{n}(g(D)^n)'D.$$

Thus, by part (1), we have

$$p_n^\alpha(x) = g(D)^n\lambda_n^\alpha(x) - (g(D)^n)'\lambda_{n-1}^\alpha(x).$$

Finally, by Proposition 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_n^\alpha(x) &= g(D)^n\lambda_n^\alpha(x) - g(D)^n\sigma\lambda_{n-1}^\alpha(x) + \sigma g(D)^n\lambda_{n-1}^\alpha(x) \\ &= g(D)^n\lambda_n^\alpha(x) - g(D)^n\lambda_n^\alpha(x) + \sigma g(D)^n\lambda_{n-1}^\alpha(x) \\ &= \sigma g(D)^n\lambda_{n-1}^\alpha(x). \end{aligned}$$

(3) This follows immediately from the substitute of the Transfer Formula

$$\lambda_{n-1}^\alpha(x) = f'(D)^{-1}g(D)^n p_{n-1}^\alpha(x)$$

in the Shift Formula

$$p_n^\alpha(x) = \sigma g(D)^{-n}\lambda_{n-1}^\alpha(x). \square$$

Actually, Theorem 16 can be generalized to cover the case in which the role of the harmonic logarithm $\lambda_n^\alpha(x)$ can be played by any logarithmic sequence of binomial type $p_n^\alpha(x)$.

¹⁹This is then the best possible example of a purely logarithmic proof of a result involving polynomials. It is derived from a formula (eq. 21) which does not even make sense for polynomials.

Corollary 2 *Let $f(D)$ and $g(D)$ be delta operators associated with the logarithmic sequences $p_n^\alpha(x)$ and $q_n^\alpha(x)$, and the sequences of polynomials $p_n(x) = p_n^{(0)}(x)$ and $q_n(x) = q_n^{(0)}(x)$. Then*

1. *We have*

$$p_n^\alpha(x) = \frac{f'(D)g(D)^{n+1}}{g'(D)f(D)^{n+1}} q_n^\alpha(x)$$

for all α and n , and in particular for $\alpha = (0)$ we have

$$p_n(x) = \frac{f'(D)g(D)^{n+1}}{g'(D)f(D)^{n+1}} q_n(x),$$

2. *And for the polynomial sequences only,²⁰ we have*

$$p_n(x) = x \left(g(D)^n f(D)^{-n} [x^{-1} q_n(x)] \right).$$

Proof: Part one is immediate from the Transfer Formula. Part two results from the Shift Formula since the Roman shift of a polynomial is multiplication by x . $\square$

3.7 Lagrange Inversion

The explicit formulas²¹ of the preceding section lead to an elegant proof of the Lagrange Inversion formula for the compositional inverse $f^{(-1)}(t)$ of a delta series $f(t)$.

Theorem 17 (Lagrange Inversion) *Let $f(t)$ be a delta series, and $g(t)$ be any Laurent series of degree d , then*

$$g(f^{(-1)}(t)) = \sum_{k=d}^{\infty} \left\langle g(t) f'(t) f(t)^{-1-k} \frac{1}{x} \right\rangle_{(1)} t^k. \quad (24)$$

In particular,

$$f^{(-1)}(t)^n = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \left\langle t^n f'(t) f(t)^{-1-k} \frac{1}{x} \right\rangle_{(1)} t^k.$$

In other words, the coefficient of t^k in $f^{(-1)}(t)^n$ is the coefficient of t^{n-1} in $f'(t) f(t)^{-1-k}$.

²⁰The Roman shift is not in general invertible.

²¹In particular, eq. 21.

Proof: It will suffice to demonstrate eq. 24. By eq. 21 formula,

$$[k]! \left\langle g(D)p_k^{(1)}(x) \right\rangle_{(1)} = \left\langle g(D)f'(D)f(D)^{-1-k} \left(\frac{1}{x} \right) \right\rangle_{(1)}$$

where $p_k^\alpha(x)$ is the logarithmic sequence of binomial type associated with the delta operator $f(D)$. Now,

$$\langle f(D)^n p_k^\alpha(x) \rangle_\alpha = \delta_{nk} [n]! = \langle D^n \lambda_k^\alpha(x) \rangle_\alpha,$$

so in an augmentation of an operator acting on a $p_k^\alpha(x)$, one can substitute $f^{(-1)}(D)$ for D in the operator provided one also substitutes $\lambda_k^\alpha(x)$ for $p_k^\alpha(x)$. In particular,

$$[k]! \left\langle g(D)p_k^{(1)}(x) \right\rangle_{(1)} = [k]! \left\langle g(f^{(-1)}(D))p_k^{(1)}(x) \right\rangle_{(1)}. \quad (25)$$

However, by the Expansion theorem (Theorem 10), $[k]! \left\langle g(f^{(-1)}(D))p_k^{(1)}(x) \right\rangle_{(1)}$ is the coefficient of D^k in $g(f^{(-1)}(D))$. Putting all this information together, we have eq. 24. $\square$

4 Examples

4.1 The Logarithmic Lower Factorial Sequence

We now return to our example of a logarithmic sequence of binomial type (Section 3.4). The logarithmic lower factorial $(x)_n^\alpha$ is the logarithmic sequence of binomial type associated with the delta operator $f(D) = \Delta = e^D - I$.

By Theorem 14, to determine the residual series, we must first compute the Pincherle derivative

$$f'(D) = \Delta' = e^D = E^1.$$

Thus, the residual series is

$$(x)_{-1}^{(1)} = E^1 \frac{1}{x} = \frac{1}{x+1}.$$

This completes our calculations of section 3.4, since all of the other terms $(x)_n^\alpha$ can be computed via the formula

$$(x)_n^\alpha = [n]!^{-1} \text{skip}_{\alpha, (1)} D^{-n-1} \left(\frac{1}{x+1} \right).$$

However, we should note that in some cases the recursion formula is much more useful

$$(x)_n^\alpha = \sigma(x-1)_{n-1}^\alpha.$$

In particular, for $\alpha = (0)$ and $n \geq 0$ or $\alpha = (1)$ and $n < 0$, this is equivalent to

$$(x)_n^\alpha = x(x-1)_{n-1}^\alpha.$$

In these cases, we immediately have

$$(x)_n = x(x-1) \cdots (x-n+1)$$

for n positive, and

$$(x)_n^{(1)} = \frac{1}{(x+1)(x+2) \cdots (x-n)}$$

for n negative.

4.2 The Laguerre Logarithmic Sequence

Our next example, the Laguerre logarithmic sequence $L_n^\alpha(x)$ and the Laguerre polynomials $L_n(x) = L_n^{(0)}(x)$, are the logarithmic sequence and polynomials sequence of binomial type associated with the Laguerre operator $f(D) = W$ defined by eq. 10 or eq. 11.

We have for all n and α by the Transfer formula,

$$\begin{aligned} L_n^\alpha(x) &= f'(D) \left(\frac{D}{f(D)} \right) \lambda_n^\alpha(x) \\ &= -(D-I)^{n-1} \lambda_n^\alpha(x) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+k} \binom{n-1}{k} \frac{[n]!}{[n-k]!} \lambda_{n-k}^\alpha(x). \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

In particular, for $\alpha = (0)$ and n positive, we have

$$L_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n+k} \binom{n-1}{k} \frac{n!}{n-k!} x^{n-k}.$$

Note that for the Laguerre logarithmic sequence, we not only have

$$\text{skip}_{(0),(1)} L_n^{(1)}(x) = L_n(x)$$

as required by eq. 18, but we also have

$$\text{skip}_{(1),(0)} L_n(x) = L_n^{(1)}(x) \quad (27)$$

for n positive.²² This is a very special property; it is only true of logarithmic sequences associated with delta operators of the form $aD/(D-b)$ for a, b real scalars ($a \neq 0$).

However,

$$L_0^{(1)} = \log x + \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{x^2} + \frac{2}{x^3} - \frac{6}{x^4} + \cdots$$

which is not equal to $\text{skip}_{(1),(0)} L_0(x) = \text{skip}_{(1),(0)} 1 = \log x$.

From eq. 26 and the classical identity (for polynomials)

$$e^x D^n e^{-x} = (D - I)^n, \quad (28)$$

we have the *Rodrigues formula* for the Laguerre sequence

$$L_n(x) = -e^x D^{n-1} e^{-x} x^n.$$

4.3 The Abel Logarithmic Sequence

We continue our series of examples with the logarithmic generalization $A_n^\alpha(x; b)$ of the Abel polynomials $A_n(x; b)$ associated with the delta operator $f(D) = E^a D$ mentioned in Section 1.4.

By the shift formula, for n neither 0 nor 1, we have

$$A_n^\alpha(x) = \sigma \lambda_{n-1}^\alpha(x - nb).$$

For $\alpha = (0)$ and $n > 1$ or $\alpha = (1)$ and $n < 0$, this immediately gives us

$$x(x - nb)^{n-1}.$$

In particular, the residual series is

$$A_{-1}^{(1)}(x) = x(x + b)^{-2}.$$

²²The logarithmic sequences of the form $a^n \lambda_n^\alpha(x)$ (for example the harmonic logarithm) are even more special since they obey eq. 27 even for $n = 0$. Nevertheless, there are no logarithmic sequences satisfying eq. 27 for any negative integers n .

It remains now only to compute the terms of degree zero and one. The series of degree zero is computed via the transfer formula, and turns out to be much simpler than might have been expected:

$$\begin{aligned} A_0^{(1)}(x) &= (DE^b)'E^{-b}\log x \\ &= (I + bD)\log x \\ &= \log x + b/x. \end{aligned}$$

As an application, we infer from the logarithmic binomial theorem (eq. 17)

$$A_0^\alpha(x+a) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ k \end{bmatrix} A_k(a; b) A_k^{(1)}(x)$$

the remarkable identity

$$\frac{b}{x+a} + \log(x+a) = \frac{b}{x} + \log x + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k+1} a(a-bk)^{k-1} x}{k(x+bk)^{k+1}}.$$

For example, we can substitute $a = 1$, $b = 2$, and $x = 5$, and compute the first twelve terms of both sides yielding equality to seven decimal places.

In general, the transfer formula gives us

$$\begin{aligned} A_n^\alpha(x) &= E^{-nb}(I + bD)\lambda_n^\alpha(x) \\ &= \lambda_n^\alpha(x - nb) + b[n] \lambda_{n-1}^\alpha(x - nb). \end{aligned}$$

For example, the term of degree one is given by

$$A_1^{(1)}(x) = x \log(x-a) + a - x.$$

Finally, consider the Taylor's theorem for the logarithmic Abel sequence (Theorem 12). Any $p(x) \in \mathcal{L}^+$ can be expanded in terms of the logarithmic Abel sequence as follows

$$p(x) = \sum_{\alpha \neq (0)} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{N_\alpha} \frac{a_n^\alpha}{[n]!} A_n^\alpha(x)$$

where $a_n^\alpha = \langle E^{nb} D^n p(x) \rangle_\alpha$. In particular, for $p(x) = \log x$, $a_n^\alpha = 0$ for $\alpha \neq (1)$ or n positive, but for n nonpositive and $\alpha = (1)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_n^\alpha &= \langle E^{nb} D^n \log x \rangle_{(1)} \\ &= \langle E^{nb} \lambda_{-n}^{(1)}(x) / (-n)! \rangle_{(1)} \\ &= \langle E^{nb} \lambda_{-n}^{(0)}(x) / (-n)! \rangle_{(0)} \\ &= \langle (x + nb)^{-n} \rangle_{(0)} / (-n)! \\ &= (nb)^{-n} / (-n)!. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\log x &= \log x + \frac{b}{x} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-nb)^n}{n! \lfloor -n \rfloor!} A_{-n}^{(1)}(x) \\
-\frac{b}{x} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-nb)^n (-1)^{n-1}}{n} x(x+nb)^{-n-2} \\
\frac{b}{x^2} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(nb)^n}{n} (x+nb)^{-n-2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Note that eq. 29 is the correct version of the calculations in [12, p. 105]. We thank Richard Askey for bringing our error to our attention.

4.4 The Logarithmic Ramey Sequences

Let p_n^α and $p_n(x) = p_n^{(0)}(x)$ be the logarithmic and polynomial sequences of binomial type associated with a delta operator $f(D)$. The sequences $p_n^\alpha(x; b)$ and $p_n(x; b)$ associated with the delta operator $E^b f(D)$ are called the logarithmic and polynomial *Ramey sequences*²³ of $p_n(x)$ and $p_n^\alpha(x)$. See Ramey's paper on polynomial Ramey sequences for $f(D)$. For example, if $f(D) = D$, the Ramey sequences are the Abel sequences dealt with in sections 1.4 and 4.3.

The following proposition is very useful in the calculation of Ramey sequences.

Proposition 3 *Let $p_n^\alpha(x)$ and $p_n(x)$ be the Ramey sequences for the logarithmic and polynomial sequences of binomial type $q_n^\alpha(x)$ and $q_n(x) = q_n^{(0)}(x)$ for the delta operator $f(D)$.*

1. *Then for n neither zero nor one, the explicit relationship between $p_n(x)$ and $q_n(x)$ is given by*

$$p_n(x) = \frac{xq_n(x - nb)}{x - nb}.$$

2. *For all n , we have*

$$p_n^\alpha(x) = q_n^\alpha(x - nb) + \lfloor n \rfloor b f'(D)^{-1} q_{n-1}^\alpha(x - nb).$$

²³Also called the Abelization.

3. For n negative and $\alpha = (1)$, we have

$$p_n^{(1)}(x) = q_n^{(1)}(x - nb) + \lfloor n \rfloor bx^{-1}q_n^\alpha(x).$$

Proof: (1) Immediate from part two of Corollary 2.

(2) Immediate from part one of Corollary 2.

(3) Since the Roman shift is multiplication by x in this context, we have by the Recurrence Formula

$$x^{-1}q_n^\alpha(x) = f'(D)q_{n-1}^\alpha(x).$$

The conclusion now immediately follows from part two. $\square$

For example, let us now compute the polynomial Ramey sequence for the lower factorial. This is the polynomial sequences $G_n(x)$ commonly called *the Gould polynomials* associated with the delta operator $D\Delta$. We have

$$G_n(x) = \frac{x(x - nb)_n}{x - nb} = x(x - nb - 1)_{n-1}.$$

5 Connection Constants

Let $p_n^\alpha(x)$ and $q_n^\alpha(x)$ be logarithmic sequences with

$$q_n^\alpha(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^n c_{nk}^\alpha \lambda_k^\alpha(x). \quad (30)$$

Then the sequence

$$r_n^\alpha(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^n c_{nk}^\alpha p_k^\alpha(x)$$

is called the *umbral composition* of the logarithmic sequences $p_n^\alpha(x)$ and $q_n^\alpha(x)$. To denote umbral composition, we write

$$r_n^\alpha(x) = q_n^\alpha(\mathbf{p}).$$

Restricting our attention to polynomials, we see that if $p_n(x)$ and $q_n(x)$ with

$$q_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n c_{nk} x^k,$$

then the umbral composition is uniquely defined, and is given by

$$q_n(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{k=0}^n c_{nk} p_k(x).$$

When $p_n^\alpha(x)$ and $q_n^\alpha(x)$ are of binomial type, then umbral composition has a remarkable property.

Theorem 18 *Let $p_n^\alpha(x)$ and $q_n^\alpha(x)$ be the logarithmic sequences of binomial type associated with the delta operators $f(D)$ and $g(D)$ respectively. Then their umbral composition $r_n^\alpha(x) = p_n^\alpha(\mathbf{q})$ is the logarithmic sequence of binomial type associated with the delta operator $f(g(D))$.*

Proof: We must show the $r_n^\alpha(x)$ obeys eqs. 19 and 20. Let the coefficients of $p_n^\alpha(x)$ and $f(D)$ be given by

$$\begin{aligned} p_n^\alpha(x) &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^n c_{nk} \lambda_k^\alpha(x) \\ f(D) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j D^j \end{aligned}$$

We write c_{nk} since by eq. 18 the coefficients do not depend on α .

To prove eq. 19, we proceed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle r_n^\alpha(\mathbf{q}) \rangle_\alpha &= \left\langle \sum_{k=-\infty}^n c_{nk} q_k^\alpha(x) \right\rangle_\alpha \\ &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^n c_{nk} \langle q_k^\alpha(x) \rangle_\alpha \\ &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^n c_{nk} \delta_{0k} \\ &= c_{n0} \\ &= \langle p_n^\alpha(x) \rangle_\alpha \\ &= \delta_{n0}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, it remains to prove eq. 20.

$$\begin{aligned} f(g(D))r_n^\alpha(x) &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=-\infty}^n a_j c_{nk} g(D)^j q_k^\alpha(x) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=-\infty}^n a_j c_{nk} \frac{|k|!}{[k-j]!} q_{k-j}^\alpha(x). \end{aligned}$$

However,

$$\begin{aligned}
\lfloor n \rfloor p_{n-1}^\alpha(x) &= f(D)p_n^\alpha(x) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=-\infty}^n a_j c_n k D^j \lambda_k^\alpha(x) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=-\infty}^n a_j c_n k \frac{\lfloor k \rfloor!}{\lfloor k-j \rfloor!} \lambda_{k-j}^\alpha(x),
\end{aligned}$$

so that

$$f(g(D))r_n^\alpha(x) = \lfloor n \rfloor r_{n-1}^\alpha(x). \square$$

By restricting our attention to $\mathcal{L}^{(0)}$, we have the analogous result for polynomials.

Corollary 3 *Let $p_n(x)$ and $q_n(x)$ be the polynomial sequences of binomial type associated with the delta operators $f(D)$ and $g(D)$ respectively. Then their umbral composition $r_n(x) = p_n(\mathbf{q})$ is the sequence of binomial type associated with the delta operator $f(g(D))$. $\square$*

The preceeding theorem allows us to solve the *problem of connection constants*. That is, given two logarithmic sequence of binomial type $r_n^\alpha(x)$ and $q_n^\alpha(x)$ associated with the delta operators $g(D)$ and $h(D)$, we are to find constants²⁴ c_{nk} such that

$$r_n^\alpha(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^n c_{nk} q_k^\alpha(x).$$

The preceeding theory shows that the constants c_{nk} are given by a logarithmic sequence $p_n^\alpha(x)$ as in eq. 30 associated with the delta operator $f(D) = h(g^{(-1)}(D))$. Of course, the same result holds true for polynomial sequences of binomial type.

In particular, given any logarithmic sequence of binomial type $p_n^\alpha(x)$ associated with the delta operator $f(D)$, there exists a unique inverse logarithmic sequence $\bar{p}_n^\alpha(x)$ associated with the delta operator $f^{(-1)}(D)$. In view of the logarithmic Taylor's theorem (Theorem 9),

$$\bar{p}_n^\alpha(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^n \frac{\langle D^k \bar{p}_n^\alpha(x) \rangle}{\lfloor k \rfloor!} \lambda_k^\alpha(x).$$

²⁴Such constants exists since the two sequences are basis, and the coefficients do not depend on α by eq. 18.

However, by the demonstration of eq. 25, $\overline{p}_n^\alpha(x)$ is the conjugate logarithmic sequence for the delta operator $f(D)$; that is,

$$\overline{p}_n^\alpha(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^n \frac{\langle f(D)^k \lambda_n^\alpha(x) \rangle_\alpha}{[n]!} \lambda_k^\alpha(x).$$

Moreover, by further umbral composition

$$\overline{p}_n^\alpha(\mathbf{q}) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^n \frac{\langle f(D)^k \lambda_n^\alpha(x) \rangle_\alpha}{[n]!} q_k^\alpha(x).$$

Obviously, similar results hold for polynomials.

6 Examples

6.1 From the Logarithmic Lower Factorial to the Harmonic Logarithm

To compute the connection constants expressing the harmonic logarithms $\lambda_n^\alpha(x)$ in terms of the logarithmic lower factorials $(x)_n^\alpha$ via the above techniques, we must first calculate the logarithmic generalization $\phi_n^\alpha(x)$ of the *exponential polynomials* $\phi_n(x)$ associated with the delta operator $\Delta^{(-1)} = \log(I + D)$ and which have been studied by Touchard [20] among others.

As noted above, this is the logarithmic conjugate sequence for forward difference operator Δ . That is,

$$\phi_n^\alpha(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^n \frac{\langle \Delta^k \lambda_n^\alpha(x) \rangle_\alpha}{[n]!} \lambda_k^\alpha(x).$$

In particular, for $\alpha = (0)$,

$$\phi_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\langle \Delta^k x^n \rangle_\alpha}{[n]!} x^k = \sum_{k=0}^n S(n, k) x^k$$

where $S(n, k)$ denote the *Stirling numbers of the second kind*.

To calculate the residual series, we as usual employ Theorem 14,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{-1}^{(1)}(x) &= \log(I + D)'x^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k D^k x^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k! / x^{k+1}\end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent via umbral composition to eq. 15.

Other terms of the logarithmic exponential sequence are most easily computed via the recurrence formula.

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_n^\alpha(x) &= \sigma(\log(I + D)')^{-1} \phi_{n-1}^\alpha(x) \\ &= \sigma(I + D) \phi_{n-1}^\alpha(x).\end{aligned}$$

For $\alpha = (0)$ and n positive, or $\alpha = (1)$ and n negative, we thus have by eq. 28

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_n^\alpha(x) &= x e^{-x} D e^x \phi_{n-1}^\alpha(x) \\ &= e^{-x} (x D) e^x \phi_{n-1}^\alpha(x).\end{aligned}$$

In particular, for polynomials, we have by induction

$$\phi_n(x) = e^{-x} (x D)^n e^x.$$

6.2 From the Lower Factorials to the Upper Factorials

The *logarithmic upper factorial* $\langle x \rangle_n^\alpha$ is the logarithmic sequences associated with the backward difference operator $\nabla = I - E^{-D}$. In effect, it is the important special case of the Gould sequence with $b = -1$. Thus, for $\alpha = (0)$ and n positive, we have the sequence of polynomials

$$\langle x \rangle_n = \langle x \rangle_n^\alpha = x(x+1) \cdots (x+n-1),$$

and for $\alpha = (1)$ and n negative, we have

$$\langle x \rangle_n^{(1)} = \frac{1}{(x-1)(x-2) \cdots (x+n)}.$$

To express $(x)_n^\alpha$ in terms of $\langle x \rangle_n^\alpha$, we must first compute the logarithmic sequence of binomial type $p_n^\alpha(x)$ associated with the delta operator $f(D) =$

$$\Delta(\nabla^{(-1)}).$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(D) &= \Delta(\nabla^{(-1)}) \\ &= \exp(-\log(I - D)) - I \\ &= \frac{I}{I - D} - I \\ &= \frac{D}{I - D} \\ &= -W \end{aligned}$$

where W is the Weierstrass operator. Hence, the logarithmic sequence $p_n^\alpha(x)$ is essential the logarithmic Laguerre sequence.

$$p_n^\alpha(x) = (-1)^n L_n^\alpha(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \binom{n-1}{k} \frac{[n]!}{[n-k]!} \lambda_{n-k}^\alpha(x).$$

Thus,

$$(x)_n^\alpha = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \binom{n-1}{k} \frac{[n]!}{[n-k]!} \langle x \rangle_{n-k}^\alpha.$$

In particular, for $\alpha = (0)$ and n positive,

$$x(x-1)\cdots(x-n+1) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k \binom{n-1}{k} \frac{n!}{n-k!} x(x+1)\cdots(x+n-k-1)$$

a fact which was not at all obvious *a priori*.

6.3 From the Abel Series to the Harmonic Logarithms

To compute the harmonic logarithms $\lambda_n^\alpha(x)$ in terms of the logarithmic Abel sequence $A_n^\alpha(x; b)$ it will suffice to compute the logarithmic inverse Abel sequence $\mu_n^\alpha(x; b) = \bar{A}_n^\alpha(x; b)$ associated with the composition inverse of DE^b .

Unfortunately, there is no closed form expression for the compositional inverse of $D \exp(bD)$. Nevertheless, we can compute $\mu_n^\alpha(x)$ using the fact that it is the conjugate logarithmic sequence for DE^b .

$$\mu_n^\alpha(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^n \frac{\langle D^k E^{bk} \lambda_n^\alpha(x) \rangle_\alpha}{[n]!} \lambda_k^\alpha(x).$$

Now, $\langle D^k E^{bk} \lambda_n^\alpha(x) \rangle_\alpha$ is equal to $(bk)^{n-k} [n]!/[n-k]!$. Thus,

$$\mu_n^\alpha(x; b) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^n \frac{(bk)^{n-k}}{[n]!} \lambda_k^\alpha(x).$$

And therefore

$$\lambda_n^\alpha(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^n \frac{(bk)^{n-k}}{[n]!} A_k^\alpha(x; b),$$

or for $\alpha = (0)$ and n positive or $\alpha = (1)$ and n negative,

$$x^n = \sum_{k=-\infty}^n \frac{(bk)^{n-k}}{[n]!} x(x - nb)^{n-1}.$$

References

- [1] R. Askey, *Orthogonal Polynomials and Special Functions*, Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics, SIAM (1975).
- [2] M. Barnabi, A. Brini, and G. Nicoletti, *Polynomial Sequences of Integral Type*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Its Applications, **78** (1980), 598-617.
- [3] N. Bourbaki, *Fonctions d'une Ree Variable*.
- [4] Hardy, *Orders of Infinity*.
- [5] D. Loeb, *Series with General Exponents*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis.
- [6] D. Loeb, *The Iterated Logarithmic Algebra*. MIT Thesis, 1989.
- [7] D. Loeb, *The Iterated Logarithmic Algebra*, Advances in Mathematics.
- [8] D. Loeb, *The Iterated Logarithmic Algebra II: Sheffer Sequences*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis.
- [9] D. Loeb, *Sequences of Symmetric Functions of Binomial Type*, Studies in Applied Mathematics.
- [10] D. Loeb, *A Generalization of the Binomial Coefficients*, Advances in Mathematics.
- [11] D. Loeb, *A Generalization of the Stirling Numbers*, Journal of Discrete Mathematics.
- [12] D. Loeb and G-C Rota, *Formal Power Series of Logarithmic Type*, Advances in Mathematics, **75** (1989) 1-118.
- [13] I. G. McDonald, *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1979.
- [14] R. Mullin and G-C Rota, *On the Foundations of Combinatorial Theory III: Theory of Binomial Enumeration*, Graph Theory and Its Application, (1970) 168-211.
- [15] S. Roman, *The Algebra of Formal Series*, Advances in Mathematics **31** (1979) 309-329.

- [16] S. Roman, *The Algebra of Formal Series II: Sheffer Sequences*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **74** (1980) 120–143.
- [17] S. Roman, *A Generalization of the Binomial Coefficients*. To appear.
- [18] S. Roman and G-C Rota, *The Umbral Calculus*, Advances in Mathematics **27** (1978) 95-188.
- [19] G-C Rota, D. Kahana and A. Odlyzko, *Finite Operator Calculus*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Its Applications **42** (1973).
- [20] J. Touchard, *Nombres Exponentiels et Nombres de Bernoulli*, Canadian Journal of Mathematics, **8** (1956), 305-320.
- [21] van Neuman.